

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,697	08/30/2001	Armin Amrhein	A34463 (071308.0222)	9229
31625 7590 01/08/2007 BAKER BOTTS L.L.P. PATENT DEPARTMENT 98 SAN JACINTO BLVD., SUITE 1500 AUSTIN, TX 78701-4039			EXAMINER	
			CHANG, SUNRAY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2121	
<del>r</del>				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary    Examiner   Surray Chang   Art Unit   2121						
Surray Chang  2121						
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled selfs SIX (b) MONTHS from the enaling date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office laster than there months after the mailing date of this communication.  - Pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office laster than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any  same patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 November 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits it closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) □ is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) □ is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) □ is/are as subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is object						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after ISI (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (a) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 November 2006.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are rejected to .  8)  Claim(s) is/are rejected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)  All  b)						
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 27 November 2006.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  - Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 6.15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) □ Claim(s) 6.15 is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s)						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 November 2006.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits in closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1210. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
Disposition of Claims  4)	] Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4)  Claim(s) 6-15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.1210  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 6-15 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(1) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☒ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
<ul> <li>10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> <li>Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119</li> <li>12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	J).					
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20061208.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20061208.						

Application/Control Number: 09/942,697

Art Unit: 2121

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in responsive to the paper filed on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2006.

Claims 6 - 15 are presented.

Claims 6 – 15 are rejected.

#### Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: "an first bus coupled with" and "a main clock for the industrial controller is selected <u>form</u> the ... (clocks)" need appropriate corrections.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2121

3. Claims 6, 10 and 13 – 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terrence L. Blevins (U.S. Patent No. 6,445,963 and referred to as Blevins hereinafter), and in view of Edmund Choung et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,564,329 and referred to as Choung hereinafter).

### Regarding independent claims 6, 10 and 13 – 14,

Blevins teaches,

An automation system comprising: an industrial controller for integrating a plurality of automation components in a uniform configurable running model of a respective runtime system of the industrial controller [an advanced control block implements multiple-input/multiple-output control, such as model predictive control, neural network modeling or control, within a process control system in a manner that is integrated with the control blocks implemented using a control paradigm, such as the Fieldbus paradigm, Col. 4, lines 22 – 28].

#### Choung teaches

the industrial controller comprising a plurality of bus interfaces and an internal timer for generating an internal clock; a first bus coupled with a first bus interface of the plurality of bus interfaces of the industrial controller, wherein the first bus interface comprises a bus timer; a first external device coupled with the industrial controller through a second bus with a second bus interface of the plurality of bus interfaces of the industrial controller, the first external device comprising a clock source, a technical process coupled with said first bus, the technical process comprising a clock generator, wherein a main clock for the industrial

Art Unit: 2121

controller is selected from the internal clock or the bus timer or the clock source or the clock generator. [an ASIC has a clock controller that dynamically selects an appropriate clock frequency for a resource, Abstract; further, the system clock controller contains multiple instances of the circuitry ... particular resource or clock ... select ... memory clock ... bus clock ... CPU clock ... peripheral clock, Col. 8, lines 57 – 67; see further Fig. 3 and Fig. 4] for the purpose of dynamic clock generation [Col. 1, lines 1 – 2].

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify the teaching of **Blevins** to include "the industrial controller comprising a plurality of bus interfaces and an internal timer for generating an internal clock; a first bus coupled with a first bus interface of the plurality of bus interfaces of the industrial controller, wherein the first bus interface comprises a bus timer; a first external device coupled with the industrial controller through a second bus with a second bus interface of the plurality of bus interfaces of the industrial controller, the first external device comprising a clock source, a technical process coupled with said first bus, the technical process comprising a clock generator, wherein a main clock for the industrial controller is selected from the internal clock or the bus timer or the clock source or the clock generator", for the purpose of dynamic clock generation [Col. 1, lines 1 – 2].

4. Claims 6 – 9, 11 – 12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Blevins in view of Choung, and further in view of Steven J. Altschuler (U.S. Patent No. 6,778,971 and referred to as Altschuler hereinafter).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,697 Page 5

Art Unit: 2121

# Regarding dependent claims 7 and 11,

**Blevins** teaches an automation system; [Col. 4, lines 22 - 28]

Choung teaches clock sources, bus clock, dynamic clock generation [Abstract, Col. 8, lines 57 – 67, and Fig. 3 & 4]

Altschuler teaches,

prioritizing the system and <u>user level tasks</u>. [Col. 13, Lines 21 – 36] for the purpose of analyzing computer-based tasks to build task models. [Col. 1, lines 1 – 3]

# Regarding dependent claims 8 and 12,

Altschuler teaches,

• user level tasks are loaded into the at least one user level. [Col. 7, Lines 30 - 38] for the purpose of analyzing computer-based tasks to build task models. [Col. 1, lines 1 - 3]

#### Regarding dependent claims 9 and 15,

Altschuler teaches,

■ programmed accessing overall functionality from the user programs. [Col. 3, lines 14 – 44]

# Response to Amendment

5. RCE has been filed on November 27<sup>th</sup>, 2006.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 and 101

6. Applicants overcome both the 101 and 112 first paragraph rejections, the examiner has withdrawn the rejections

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Applicants indicate clearly that the clock sources are ready in the network for an apparatus to make a selection making the invention away from the teaching of **Altschuler** reference; yet, further reference has been cited for new ground of rejections in current office action.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,697

Art Unit: 2121

## Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sunray Chang who may be reached Monday through Friday, between 8:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m. EST. via telephone number (571) 272-3682 or facsimile transmission (571) 273-3682 or email <a href="mailto:sunray.chang@uspto.gov">sunray.chang@uspto.gov</a>.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on (571) 272-3687.

The official facsimile transmission number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Anthony Knight Supervisory Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2121 Technology Center 2100 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

December 22, 2006